VERBATIM PROCEEDINGS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

CONNECTICUT HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND EXCHANGE

MARK RAYMOND, CHAIRPERSON

FEBRUARY 4, 2014

101 EAST RIVER DRIVE EAST HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

1	Verbatim proceedings of a meeting in
2	the matter of Connecticut Health Information Technology
3	and Exchange, held at 101 East River Drive, East Hartford,
4	Connecticut on February 4, 2014 at 4:38 P.M
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	CHAIRMAN MARK RAYMOND: I will now call the
10	HITE/CT Board of Directors meeting to order and thank you
11	all for joining us. The first item on the agenda is
12	approval of our meeting minutes from the $1/7/14$ meeting,
13	and I will note one correction from that in that the date
14	reflects January 7, 2013 and it should say January 7, 2014
15	as the header of the minutes. Hi, this is Mark, who just
16	joined?
17	DR. RON BUCKMAN: Ron Buckman.
18	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Ron, great, thanks for
19	joining. We're just getting started. So given that
20	correction to the minutes do I are there any other
21	corrections or notes people would like to discuss
22	regarding the minutes?
23	MR. BRUCE CHUDWICK: Mark, the schedule of
24	meetings on the last page, February 4, 2014.

1	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: One more correction on
2	the last page, schedule of meetings should note February
3	4, 2014. Given those corrections do I have a motion to
4	approve the minutes?
5	MS. VANESSA KAPRAL: Motion to approve.
6	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Was that Vanessa?
7	MS. KAPRAL: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Thank you. A second
9	from Dan?
10	DR. BUCKMAN: Ron.
11	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Ron, thank you. All in
12	favor signify with an Aye.
13	VOICES: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Any opposed? Any
15	abstain? Motion passes, thank you. The next item on the
16	agenda is the HITE/CT Board business and the item that we
17	have scheduled there is the Treasurer's report. So I will
18	ask Chris Kraus to give the report for us today, so Chris.
19	MS. CHRIS KRAUS: Okay, I just sent them
20	out this afternoon as our books were just reconciled for
21	the end of the month of January. So I'm not sure if
22	everybody read them yet but they have been adjusted all
23	our financials have been adjusted to reflect the terms of
24	the settlement with Axway so you might notice the numbers

1	look somewhat different. If you have any questions please
2	e-mail me and I can send them to the accountant for you.
3	These are still draft financials until our audit is
4	finalized which should be the end of February, beginning
5	of March.
6	Our total income as of January 31st is
7	\$1,046, 404.68. Our total expenses to date are
8	\$874,222.35, and that's on the revenue and expense page.
9	On the balance sheet we have in our bank account
10	\$181,779.50. Our total fixed assets excuse me, our
11	total assets are \$1,942,589.90. Our total liabilities are
12	\$9,596.17 and our net income as of January 31st is
13	\$172,182.33. On the cash flow document if you go to the
14	second page
15	MR. DAN CARMODY: Hey Chris
16	MS. KRAUS: Yes.
17	MR. CARMODY: this is Dan.
18	MS. KRAUS: Hi.
19	MR. CARMODY: How did we come up the
20	software development cost, is that just the purchase price
21	and is that what we set the value of, you know, us getting
22	out of the contract or was that the actual this is
23	what we think the fair market value was and how is that
24	assessed?

1 MS. KRAUS: So are you on the balance 2 sheet, the software development --3 MR. CARMODY: I was on the balance sheet, 4 that's right. 5 MS. KRAUS: What I can do is I can go back and look at all the numbers and I can get back to you on 6 7 that. 8 MR. CARMODY: Okay. And do you have a 9 depreciation cost in here? Is there a time -- and I'd 10 also want to know what is the timeframe for the assets to 11 be depreciated over? I mean, are we using a three year or a five year or -- you know? 12 13 MS. KRAUS: Okay, I'll follow up on that 14 one. Okay, any other questions on either the balance 15 sheet or the revenue and expenses? Okay, the costs on the 16 cash flow document for January of 2014 are \$25,533.93. 17 This was a three paycheck month so that's why the cost for 18 salaries is a little bit higher. It all averages out. 19 MR. CARMODY: So Chris, this is Dan again. 20 MS. KRAUS: Yes. 21 MR. CARMODY: So are we expecting that our 22 run rate -- if we project this out what is our run rate 23 going to be? And I think what we need to do is -- is the run rate going to be \$25,000 a month as it stands right 24

1 now that we're projecting? 2 MS. KRAUS: What I'll do is I'm going to work on all the finances this week Dan so I can answer 4 that question better when I take a look at it, okay? 5 MR. CARMODY: And maybe I should -- do we have any other money to draw down on from the grant or was 6 7 that million dollars that we had back in December, is that 8 it or is there still some additional money? 9 MS. KRAUS: We don't get any more income 10 but we have the \$181,000 in the bank. 11 MR. CARMODY: So the grant has been now 12 fully expended to us --13 MS. KRAUS: Yes. 14 MR. CARMODY: -- and we have no additional 15 revenue coming in and the \$180 is what we have and that's 16 what we're going to draw down essentially. 17 MS. KRAUS: Yes, that's correct. 18 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: And just to be clear, 19 under the terms of the grant those funds must be expended 20 unless they're related to closeout expenditures by March 21 15th. 22 MR. CARMODY: So that \$180,000 has to be 23 utilized completely by March?

CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Unless it's related to,

24

- 1 you know, any additional closeout activity. So final
- 2 accounting, grant accounting, that kind of work, that's
- 3 correct.
- 4 MR. CARMODY: Okay.
- 5 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: And if those funds are
- 6 not -- in terms of the grant if those funds are not used
- or allocated to those purposes, they must be returned to
- 8 the Department of Public Health.
- 9 MR. CARMODY: Okay, so does that put us in
- a position as we're sitting here in February that we have
- 11 two months and then we're going to close that -- basically
- then what happens or is that part of the conversation of
- 13 today?
- 14 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yeah, that's part of the
- 15 subsequent conversation.
- 16 MR. CARMODY: Then I will hold all
- 17 questions.
- 18 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: But you have accurately
- 19 depicted the situation.
- MR. CARMODY: Okay.
- MS. KRAUS: And as I said, since I just
- sent this out this afternoon if you take a look and have
- any questions I can send them to the accountants and let
- you know what the answers are.

1	MR. CARMODY: Okay, thank you.	
2	MS. KRAUS: You're welcome.	
3	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: So are there any other	
4	questions about either the balance sheet, the revenue and	
5	expenses or the cash flow? Not hearing any do I hear a	
6	motion to approve the Treasurer's report?	
7	MR. CARMODY: So moved Dan.	
8	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay Dan Carmody, so	
9	moved.	
10	MR. STEVEN THORNQUIST: I'll second.	
11	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Second by Steven	
12	Thornquist. All those in favor of accepting the Treasurer	
13	report signify with an Aye.	
14	VOICES: Aye.	
15	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Any opposed? Any	
16	abstain? The motion passed. Our next item on the agenda	
17	is a report of the HITE/CT agency business and at this	
18	point I will ask Lou Matteo to give us an update, and Lou	
19	you'll probably have to come over here for folks on the	
20	phone, about where we are with our project progress	
21	related to the provider directory and the EMPI.	
22	For those who weren't in attendance at the	
23	last meeting, Lou is the technical project lead consultant	
24	for HITE/CT to help implement the provider directory and	

1 EMPI pieces of our program. Lou.

MR. LOU MATTEO: Thanks Mark. I'll provide a traditional update of the hardware and software of the project. From the hardware perspective, a virtual machine which is basically the hardware that's going to be able to run the EMPI and the provider directory has been created by the IT group in BEST. We're working right now on configuring secure access for the vendor so they can go in actually load the application out and we can begin the testing phase. We're hoping to have that access granted in the next couple of days and they can start the installation by the end of the week.

From a software perspective, the provider directory, we have two files, one created by DSS and one created by DPH of providers that we're going to run through the provider directory once it's installed. The first piece of the installation requires the vendor to run the files through and analyzer. Basically this makes sure all the required fields are there, the formats of the field are correct for example the date, month/month, date/date, year/year, things like that. Traditionally this is a painstaking process and it sometimes takes weeks. The files were generated so accurately that within two days the vendor came back and said the files are ready

10

- to be loaded. So thank you to the folks at DSS and DPH for generating very accurate files.
- And the enterprise master patient index,
- 4 the vendor is going to provide some test files so we can
- 5 execute them through the EMPI once it's installed on our
- 6 servers here and then in future phases we'll get -- we'll
- 7 collect some patient files from different areas and run
- 8 them through the application. So basically to summarize,
- 9 the hardware and accessibility should be completed this
- 10 week. The application should be installed next week and
- 11 that will allow us three to four weeks to do the final
- 12 configuration and test done.
- MS. BARBARA PARKS-WOLF: Quick question.
- Just in terms of populating, what proportion of the
- 15 providers or patients are we getting for this particular
- 16 product? I don't remember.
- 17 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: The question for those
- on the phone was what proportion of the providers or --
- 19 MS. PARKS-WOLF: Are we getting all the
- 20 providers in Connecticut or just a proportion of them
- 21 because we once talked about how were just going to sort
- of -- get some and scale up.
- MR. MATTEO: Correct.
- 24 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yeah, so the -- what's

- 1 the size of the file you currently have?
- 2 MR. MATTEO: The size of the file is about
- 3 10,000 providers.
- 4 DR. THORNQUIST: In the State of
- 5 Connecticut?
- 6 MR. MATTEO: Well, they're active -- those
- 7 are active.
- B DR. THORNQUIST: Right.
- 9 MR. MATTEO: That are licensed in either
- 10 DPH or DSS.
- DR. THORNQUIST: Okay, that's more than
- 12 M.D.'s then.
- MR. MATTEO: I'm sorry?
- DR. THORNQUIST: That's more than M.D.'s
- then.
- MR. MATTEO: No, that was just M.D.'s.
- DR. THORNQUIST: In the State of
- 18 Connecticut? It seems like a big -- alright.
- MS. PARKS-WOLF: So only M.D.'s in the
- 20 provider file?
- MR. MATTEO: That's what we're starting
- 22 with.
- MS. PARKS-WOLF: And the client file is all
- 24 the Medicaid or some --

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: I'd say that we the
2	question was is the client file all of Medicaid. Right
3	now we don't have a defined use case for the EMPI so we're
4	working on that. We're having discussion with Social
5	Services in terms of the ability you know, a data
6	sharing agreement to be able to share the data to put it
7	into there and then what that would look like.
8	MS. PARKS-WOLF: So we're building
9	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: The capability
10	MS. PARKS-WOLF: the capability but
11	we're not populating it yet unlike the provider one, which
12	we are populating.
13	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Correct.
14	MS. PARKS-WOLF: Okay, thank you.
15	MR. MATTEO: Other questions?
16	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay.
17	DR. THORNQUIST: How are you identifying
18	the patients you're putting in?
19	MR. MATTEO: I'm sorry?
20	DR. THORNQUIST: How are you identifying
21	the patients since it's only a
22	MR. MATTEO: The vendor NextGate is going
23	to provide us some patient files to use.
24	DR. THORNQUIST: Alright.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

- MS. PARKS-WOLF: Just test files.

 DR. THORNQUIST: So those are dummy
- 3 patients.

19

20

- 4 MR. MATTEO: Absolutely.
- DR. THORNQUIST: And when we go to upload

 ours -- when we go live when will that be? I mean, how

 are we going to determine -- are we going to go with the

 full set of DSS patients?
- 9 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Again, we have to 10 discuss what the -- under that sort of sustainability 11 umbrella, you know, what activities that we'll have beyond 12 March and what the abilities are to utilize those 13 capabilities. So there is a layout of what should be 14 specified in the EMPI but it's not about -- and 15 fortunately with the Medicaid population there's a 16 Medicaid number that we can use for that initial set of 17 people. But the idea is that there's not a -- we're not 18 creating a unique identifiable, the purpose of an EMPI is
- Okay, any other questions for Lou on our sort of short-term activities? Okay.

to use multiple different sources to and glean who the

MR. MATTEO: Thank you.

identified individual is.

24 MS. KRAUS: I have one other --

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yes okay, Chris. 2 MS. KRAUS: -- just want to let you know 3 that I sent out the annual report and everyone should have 4 a copy. That was due February 1st. 5 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Do we have an action on 6 that? 7 MS. KRAUS: No, there's no action. 8 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay. So the next item 9 listed under agency business is legal and counsel 10 contracts. At this point our legal and counsel contracts 11 will be expiring and we see the need for additional 12 representation at a minimum through the end of the fiscal 13 year and if not longer. These contracts are only used by 14 -- on an as-needed basis, so if we don't have the need to 15 use them or don't use them by the hour we don't get billed 16 for them. 17 But I think we need the Board approval to 18 continue with the contracts for our accountant firm and 19 our legal representation firms. So we'll probably 20 consider the motions separately just to make sure that 21 we've got -- we acted appropriately. So first I'd like to 22 obtain a motion for the extension of our Shipman & Goodwin 23 contract for legal services to the end of the current --24 MS. KRAUS: They're both the same, they're

1 c	good till	February	, 28th.

- 2 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: -- they're -- but we're
- 3 going to extend them --
- 4 MS. KRAUS: Right.
- 5 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: -- to the end of the
- 6 fiscal year.
- 7 MS. KRAUS: Can we do them for another year
- 8 Bruce?
- 9 MR. CHUDWICK: I think the Board can do
- 10 that, yes, if you want to.
- 11 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yes, so I would
- 12 entertain a motion for a one-year extension to our legal
- 13 contract with Shipman & Goodwin, a one-year extension. Do
- I hear a motion for that?
- DR. THORNQUIST: I'll move it, can we
- 16 please discuss it?
- 17 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: We have a motion from
- 18 Steven Thornquist to extend our legal contract for one
- 19 calendar year. Do I hear a second?
- DR. BUCKMAN: Second.
- 21 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: That was Ron I believe?
- DR. BUCKMAN: Yes.
- CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay, any discussion on
- 24 that matter?

1	DR. THORNQUIST: So the contract doesn't
2	cost us anything unless we invoke them correct?
3	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: The question the
4	statement is that the contract does not cost anything
5	unless we invoke them and the answer to that is yes,
6	that's correct.
7	DR. THORNQUIST: And if we need to invoke
8	them from what do we pay them?
9	MS. KRAUS: The rates are stipulated.
10	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: No, he's saying from
11	what funds.
12	MS. KRAUS: Oh, oh, where are we getting
13	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yeah, so between the
14	the end of our current contract are the grant funds or
15	the end of February and our grant funds in March, it would
16	be from the grant funds. And after that, again, we'll
17	have to defer that conversation to the sustainability
18	discussion. But we can't for those items actually that
19	are related to financial reviews or document reviews
1920	are related to financial reviews or document reviews associated with the grant closeout, those would be
20	associated with the grant closeout, those would be
20 21	associated with the grant closeout, those would be applicable expenses for which to have both legal and

- 1 to grant closeout processing. Is there any other
- discussion of that motion? Okay hearing none, all in
- favor of extending our Shipman & Goodwin contract for one
- 4 year please signify with an Aye.
- 5 VOICES: Aye.
- 6 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Any opposed, any
- 7 abstain? Okay, the motion passes. I assume that that's
- 8 someone of the phone who had shifted from one call to
- 9 another is that correct? Has anyone joined the call?
- 10 MR. RODERICK BREMBY: Yes, that is correct
- 11 Mark. I shifted from the land-based phone to cellular.
- 12 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay, thank you
- 13 Commissioner.
- MS. ELLEN ANDREWS: I joined, Ellen
- 15 Andrews.
- 16 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Oh perfect, thank you
- 17 Ellen. In the same token I'd like to -- we have the need
- to -- this isn't our accounting this is our --
- MS. KRAUS: No, that's Updike, Kelly &
- 20 Spellacy.
- 21 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay, so we have our
- legal representation from Updike, Kelly & Spellacy that,
- you know, at this point we don't have anything that we
- would plan on using them for, but in the event that we do

1	I'd also like to extend that contract for an additional
2	year as well. So I would entertain a motion to extend our
3	Updike, Kelly, Spellacy contract for 12 months. Do I hear
4	a motion to that effect?
5	DR. THORNQUIST: Alright, I'll move it too.
6	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Thank you Steven. Dr.
7	Thornquist has forwarded the motion, do I hear a second?
8	MS. KAPRAL: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: And who was that?
10	MS. KAPRAL: Vanessa.
11	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Vanessa, thank you. Any
12	discussion of that?
13	DR. THORNQUIST: Now, these guys provide
14	different services?
15	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yes.
16	DR. THORNQUIST: And can you refresh my
17	memory on specifically what that's related to?
18	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: The so again, the
19	question for the folks on the phone was do these folks
20	provide different services than Shipman & Goodwin.
21	Shipman & Goodwin provides our sort logistics and Board-
22	related legal support and contract work. Updike Kelly
23	helps us with any litigation-related activities. And my
24	comment regarding not experiencing or expecting any is

- 1 that all of our current litigation issues have been
- 2 resolved, fully resolved.
- MS. BETTY JO PAKULIS: Did we bring them on
- 4 when we began the Axway negotiations or had they been part
- 5 of --
- 6 MS. KRAUS: They were brought from the
- 7 beginning is my understanding.
- MS. PAKULIS: We've always had them.
- 9 DR. THORNQUIST: Yeah, they brought a
- 10 different skillset than Shipman & Goodwin that we felt we
- 11 needed at that time as well, as I recall.
- MS. PAKULIS: Okay.
- MR. CHUDWICK: Yeah, they were the primary
- 14 negotiators of the Axway contract at the very beginning
- with Peter and the litigation side.
- 16 MS. PAKULIS: Okay, thank you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: So the discussion here
- was about the different skillsets that the two companies
- 19 brought to bear and that the Updike Kelly did the initial
- 20 negotiations on the Axway contract and provided litigation
- 21 support for that contract.
- DR. THORNQUIST: Are they also then on an
- as-needed basis?
- 24 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yes, they are also on an

1 as-needed basis.

DR. THORNQUIST: I'm speaking louder now so

3 they can hear.

4 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: I would repeat anyway.

5 Okay, any other discussion of this matter? Alright, I

will put forth -- all in favor of extending our Updike,

Kelly & Spellacy contract for 12 months please signify

8 with an Aye.

6

7

9 VOICES: Aye.

10 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Any opposed? Alright,

11 the motion passes. Okay, thank you. The last item on

that's sort of listed under the agency business is a

discussion that I mentioned at our last meeting. Our

14 HITE/CT Board was requested to consider participating in a

15 pilot program related to Health Information Exchange

16 within the behavioral health industry and this was

17 requested from a constituent.

18 And so I wanted to provide the funding

19 opportunity for your consideration and have a discussion

about what the group's collective thoughts are on this

21 after at least at the top level considering the

application and I incredibly support and applaud the

efforts in the area. And my short-term view of this is

24 we're not well positioned to successfully deliver upon the

21

RE: CT HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY & EXCHANGE FEBRUARY 4, 2014

1 terms of the award. There are some conditions associated 2 with ability to react in both technical and business 3 requirements in order to receive the award. And it was at 4 least my perspective that perhaps we were not well 5 positioned to spend our resources chasing this funding 6 opportunity. 7 Barbara, did you want to add? 8 MS. PARKS-WOLF: Also, the applications are 9 due on the 7th and there is a time issue. 10 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay. The question --11 DR. THORNQUIST: Are we going to have the 12 ability to apply? CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: -- so it was a short 13 14 turnaround and the applications were due on the 7th -- are 15 due on the 7th? 16 MS. PARKS-WOLF: I think so. 17 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yeah. And they -- I 18 mean, they specifically kept it short because they were 19 looking for work to start almost immediately. Any other 20 thoughts on that specific opportunity? Okay, I will -- I 21 don't know that we need an official motion on it. 22 MR. CHUDWICK: Just no action taken. 23 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yeah.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. CHUDWICK: The minutes can reflect

24

1 that.

CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay. So the minutes

will reflect that we didn't take any action on that. I

think this sort of fits -- I'll bring the item for the

conclusion and talk about other business. I'll move to

the next item on the agenda.

The thing that we've been talking about related to long-term sustainability of HITE/CT, we have had a couple of discussions to date about what's happening in the marketplace and how the state -- you know, given where we are should position itself to meet our ongoing needs to help doctors -- to help facilitate the exchange of information frankly. And we don't have any conclusions at this time. I do believe that we have some short-term commitments that will provide us perhaps some incremental funding from the Department of Social Services and with the Board's approval and direction we would begin to pursue a short-term memorandum of understanding between HITE/CT and the Department of Social Services for use and support of the provider directory related to the Medicaid population.

Commissioner Bremby, did you want to add anything to that? I know you're in the car.

MR. BREMBY: Yes Mark, I just want to say

that we have a very real interest in putting these
resources into service and we would look for MOU/MOA for a
short period of time with ITT in order to accommodate that
functionality. We believe that this will enable us to
kick-start our efforts towards meaningfully exchanging
data for Medicaid participants.

CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Thank you. So in relation to some short-term questions about intervening period of time between the end of the grant period and for some of these additional support contracts, we do believe that we'll be able to secure again what amounts to a shorter term funding source to allow some of these works to continue. And when we use the shorter term, it's really from planning purposes the remainder of the current fiscal year which for the state runs through the end of June.

That begs the question about broader -- you know, longer term funding and ability to support the program and continue to advance the program and the best I can categorize this in continued discussions with the administration is that there are the desire to continue to see us gain value from the exchange of this information. It means a critical component of our SIM grant program as a part of reforming how we look at and pay for and track

quality outcomes in the health care space. Having sort of seen and heard that commitment several times from the administration and from the Commissioners that are represented in this group, we still don't have a concrete plan to what that next step in organizational structure should look like. But we do believe that without a sustained revenue source, that a quasi-public to support this function may not necessarily be the most sustainable of organization structures.

So because I don't have anything more definitive to share. I did want to collect the Board's thoughts on where we currently are and if there were any - you know, as we are investigating all potential options whether there is anything additional that you suggest that I as the Chair or the staff should be looking into at this time.

MS. ANDREWS: This is Ellen Andrews. I guess I don't have a thought so much as questions. If I - and maybe this is something that can be done in writing but just a short description of the work that's going to be done for Medicaid and how it's going to be used and how it fits into everything else that's happening in Medicaid. And especially as well how HITE/CT is going to fit into - or how it sees itself within the SIM project.

1	I know the administration is very
2	supportive of them. Advocates have had a lot of concerns
3	and are still waiting on answers to questions and how
4	things play out to see whether we're going to be
5	supporting the administration's applications for grant
6	funding. So I would really like to understand what
7	HITE/CT is thinking about in terms of a role in supporting
8	SIM.
9	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay. Ellen, have you
10	seen the I'm sure that you've seen the report.
11	MS. ANDREWS: Oh yes.
12	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: You know, I believe that
13	
14	MS. ANDREWS: All 255 pages, yes I have.
15	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: the health
16	information section, you know, talks about what the role
17	is of some of the underlying technologies and support that
18	would be established. You know, whether it's associated
19	with the provider directory or other supporting efforts
20	like the all payer claims database the Access Health CT is
21	running and the need to collect additional analytics along
22	the way.
23	It's not clear exactly the role that HITE
24	will play in that, but I think from the discussion in the

1 HIT section you'll get a flavor for what the expectations 2 are from a SIM grant perspective. 3 MS. ANDREWS: Right, and I guess my 4 questions then are around whether HITE/CT is going to have 5 some role in information sharing and then, you know, 6 privacy issues always where there's -- and then on the 7 other upside also will HITE/CT be -- you talk about 8 analytics. Will HITE/CT be a part of, you know, rate 9 adjustment and benchmark and those kinds of issues. 10 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay, I think 11 getting something written up on that topic would be 12 helpful for everyone, so. Any other discussion items? 13 Barbara. 14 MS. PARKS-WOLF: The APCD put out an RFP on 15 doing what they need to do, they need a provider directory 16 too. Is that a source of a customer or -- how is that 17 going to work out? 18 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: For the folks on the 19 phone the question was that Access Health CT and the all 20 payer claims database has put out an RFP to have some of 21 these services made available to them and as a part of 22 that they require the use of a provider directory. And 23 the question was is that a source of a customer or a 24 professional funding associated with HITE/CT?

1 I believe the answer to that is yes for 2 both, and I've had some preliminary discussions with 3 Access Health Connecticut and Timam Ahmed about what the timeline is for the capability with HITE/CT so when we 4 5 would expect to have some of the capabilities available and when they would expect to need them, to be able to use 6 7 So in general there's an alignment between when we 8 believed we would have capability available and when they 9 would need it, but we need to get into some more granular 10 discussions in regards to what exactly they need to have 11 stored in the directory. And if that's not available from 12 our current source of data providers, talk about 13 maintaining that and data quality of those as well. 14 But at this point we're in the preliminary 15 discussion stages about aligning the timeline with their 16 efforts. 17 MS. PARKS-WOLF: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yup. Are there any 19 other discussion items related to our short-term plan or 20 any other thoughts relating to our longer term that we 21 should consider? If you do have any please feel free to 22 send them out to the group. We believe that it states to 23 be an active discussion over the next several months and 24 time is of the essence. So I invite any feedback that you

- 1 have on that subject.
- 2 At this point I will open it up if there's
- 3 any other business that people have on the agenda or
- 4 people would like to discuss. Any other business? Seeing
- 5 none in the room, any other business on the phone? Okay -
- 6 -
- 7 MR. BREMBY: Not at this time.
- 8 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: -- thank you. Yes,
- 9 Barbara.
- 10 MS. PARKS-WOLF: I just have a question. So
- in March -- through the end of March our grant goes but we
- 12 will continue to meet as long as --
- DR. THORNQUIST: Yeah, that's a good
- 14 question.
- 15 MS. PARKS-WOLF: -- as long as what, what
- 16 conditions us to keep meeting?
- 17 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: So the question is our
- grant runs till the middle of March and, you know, will we
- 19 continue to meet thereafter. And I believe that we have a
- 20 statutory requirement regardless of funding to attend to
- 21 the organization.
- Our goal is to have, again within
- 23 relatively short order, options for what it is that we
- 24 will do in the future whether it's under the quise of this

29

RE: CT HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY & EXCHANGE FEBRUARY 4, 2014

1 or that we consider and propose some other structures to 2 accomplish what it is that we have been legislatively been told to do. So at least for the fiscal year if not for 4 the calendar year, we have posted the dates for the 5 meetings? MS. KRAUS: We've done it for the entire 6 7 year. CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: We've done it for the 8 9 entire year and so I would ask that you at least hold 10 those on the calendar at this time and if that changes you 11 all will be the first to know. 12 DR. THORNQUIST: So just as a practical 13 matter who covers his salary if we have no money? 14 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: The question is who 15 covers the payments for our recording processes if we 16 don't have --17 DR. THORNQUIST: Because that's required. 18 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: -- it is. 19 DR. THORNQUIST: Yeah. 20 CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Unknown at this time. 21 Any other discussion under agency business? Okay, for 22 those on the phone there's no one in -- well there's --23 any public comment? Besides staff there's no general

public here so I would say that would bring that section

24

1	of our agenda to	o a close.
2	J	MR. BREMBY: Are you looking for a motion
3	to adjourn?	
4	1	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Yes I am. Do I hear a
5	motion to adjour	rn?
6		DR. THORNQUIST: So moved.
7	1	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Okay, do I hear a
8	second?	
9	J	MS. ANDREWS: Second.
10	1	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Thank you, all in favor
11	of adjournment s	signify with an Aye.
12		VOICES: Aye.
13	1	CHAIRMAN RAYMOND: Any opposed? So moved,
14	thank you all.	
15		(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at

16 5:20 p.m.)